DATE March 23, 2012
LOCATION JBK Room 11

MEMBERS Present
Alex Ambrose  Anwar  Bartlett  Bigham  Byrd
Crandall (sub Von Lintel)  Drumheller  Jafar  Johnson  Kuennen  Loftin
Parr-Scanlin  Rosa  Severn  Ward (sub Babitzke)  Wilson

Meeting called to order @ 12:30 (Byrd)

TOPIC 1  Revisions of and Approval to Minutes from Previous Meeting

Suggested revisions to minutes

Page 1
Dropbox.com added more IP addresses
Dropbox.com started without IT’s knowledge
Delete third sentence
Drumheller said original problem was brought to

Page 2
Delete sentence “A system CIO may be preferred by…”
To review for problems and suggestions
Drumheller suggested that students can use cloud services to share documents
CISCO (not Cysc0
Delete centralization from 1st sentence in 5th paragraph

Page 3
Third sentence under ombuds: Dr O’Brien will be
Allegations

Motion to Approve  (Anwar)
Second  (Parr-Scanlin)
Approval  (Unanimous)

TOPIC 2  Nominations for Regent’s Professor

Byrd  Reviewed reference material, last year’s successful candidate, workload/need to coordinate w/candidate
Babitzke  Has previous experience as the chair for the first selection process
Hard part – Get individuals identified early. Individuals leave for summer. Low response rate.
Target – Finish by graduation

Byrd  Echoed need for quick process
Senate’s part – Establish short list so candidates can be contacted. Speed through intermediate steps before sending application for admin approval

**Nominations invited**  (Byrd)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ambrose</td>
<td>Invited to nominate Anwar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anwar</td>
<td>Feels nomination is a major conflict of interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Honored for nomination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Declined nomination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosa</td>
<td>Should another body be handling nominations?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Byrd</td>
<td>Respects Anwar’s comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fac Sen is as representative of faculty that you can get</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Another time will come for Anwar once he leaves Senate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anwar</td>
<td>Killgore Research Committee has rule prohibiting members from applying</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bebitzke</td>
<td>In previous years, each Senator went back to Dept and asked faculty for nominations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Canvassing provided list that Senate then chose from</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Byrd</td>
<td>Current process is a more generic version of the one described by Bebitzke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hesitant because of timetable to do another list</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drumheller</td>
<td>How do we know who is eligible?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosa</td>
<td>University Fact Book lists faculty information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drumheller</td>
<td>Information would have been useful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hanson is only possible candidate in Comm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severn</td>
<td>Do Fac Sen have to nominate a candidate?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosa</td>
<td>In the past there were times when we did not put forth nominees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Byrd</td>
<td>Not putting forward is not first option but it is an option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drumheller</td>
<td>Hanson was put forward before</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Byrd</td>
<td>Last year, nominees declined process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>First – Verify nominees are qualifies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Second – Check with candidates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Could not bring someone forward</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Could delay cycle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Has someone to put forward – does not want to be only candidate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bigham</td>
<td>Need to put someone forward – representing WT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Two possible individuals in dept – neither wants to do it</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson</td>
<td>Wait for one meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anwar</td>
<td>Calvi as a possibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Byrd</td>
<td>Has duties as Associate Dean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosa</td>
<td>Does not meet full-time faculty requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landram</td>
<td>Need to put forward a name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Willing to hear Byrd’s suggestion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Byrd</td>
<td>Doctor Beckley – Has already prepared paperwork</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Landram
Nominates Beckley

Rosa
Allow for a week

Byrd
Will get list of possible professors from Kelley
Senators will review from list and provide nominees
Nominees do not have to come from own dept.

Drumheller
Likes idea of looking at list

Motion
Move accept Landram nomination of Beckley. Leave nominations open until next meeting (Severn)

Second
(Anwar)

Vote
(Unanimous)

Byrd
Keep nomination of Beckley and other nominations confidential

**TOPIC 3**
Fostering Greater Participation Among Faculty for Administrator Evaluations

Adhoc committee members:
- Ambrose
- Alex
- Anwar
- Byrd
- Landram
- Rosa

**TOPIC 4**
Parking

Byrd
Was told that Parking Committee had already met
Committee has moved forward with open parking recommendation for “open parking”
Initial polling gets mixed reviews
Of all the WT employees, I maintain that faculty are the only group who are discriminated against because of schedule
I get in at 10 and get out at 10
Going to open parking is not IMHO going in the right direction
One more item where faculty are losing position at WT
Would like to suggest to Parking Committee and Gary Barnes another recommendation

Landram
What happened a few years ago with Blue / Green parking?

Byrd
If upper management has partitioned parking, faculty should have partitioned parking?

Johnson
Spots will be filled by students forever

Anwar
Have a Fac Sen member sit on Parking Committee

Drumheller
Does not want open parking. Does not want division between faculty and staff
Byrd  Faculty members who were on Parking Committee did not want to come to Fac Senate
There is a time issue. Gary Barnes has proposal in-hand to forward.

Motion  Send note to Parking Committee expressing FacSen concerns and asking to hold off on action

Informal approval

Topic 5  Next meeting on Good Friday

Byrd  Meeting is a bad day but we need the time
Meeting was agreed upon previously
If you cannot make meeting, send proxy

Ambrose  Send me names for committee nominations. I will nominate for you

Meeting adjourned

These minutes were approved on 6 April 2012.