June 15, 2011

Committee Members: Dr. J. Patrick O’Brien; Dr. James Hallmark; Gary Barnes; Dr. James Rennier; Dr. Don Albrecht; Dr. Neal Weaver; Dan Garcia; Dr. Gary Byrd; Brandy Roberts; Bryan Glenn; Michael McBroom; James Webb; Dr. Gary Kelley; Dr. Leigh Browning; Dr. Karyn Friske; Jack Schiller; JD Morrison

Resource Non-voting Members: Cliff Vanderpool; Dr. Angela Spaulding

➢ BUDGET UPDATE (Supplement 1)
  - Presentation explains what has been done by WT in preparation for FY12. O’Brien stated we are essentially being cut about $2.4 million in GR appropriations. In addition to that, we want to pursue new initiatives at the amount of $6.1 million. We can generate a portion of that through revenue enhancement – tuition increases as a result of enrollment increases. Also the enhancements are a result of changes in fees which brings in about $3.4 million; the remainder is through reallocation.
  - Presentation also displays reallocation assigned for each division and net changes (increments minus decrements) for FY12 for each of division.

➢ PROGRAM REVIEW PROCESS (Supplement 2)
  - No changes were made to pages one through five of the Criteria for Program Reviews document. Page six includes the committee composition.
  - Friske asked if there can be overlap on the membership of the Ad Hoc Exigency Planning Committee and the membership of the new committee. O’Brien responded that there could be an overlap.

➢ NEXT STEPS (Supplement 2 – Timeline Section)
  - Data that is readily available will be collected over the summer and will be put into a form the committee can utilize. The committee will begin its work in September and reviews will primarily be completed in the fall semester and early spring semester.
  - After committee discussion regarding placement data provided by Career & Counseling Services, O’Brien stated he would amplify the statement to indicate that Career and Counseling Services would collect such data in coordination with the departments and colleges. Rennier asked if colleges and departments should also report on graduates who go on to advanced degrees rather than employment; O’Brien replied that advanced degree placement should be reported along with employment.
  - Weaver inquired whether the Ad Hoc Exigency Planning Committee would cease to meet once the review committee begins meeting; O’Brien responded that this committee would not be called back until recommendations come forward from the review committee.
  - Rennier questioned whether or not the review committee should utilize governing rules such as Robert’s Rules of Order; O’Brien answered that he preferred consensus-based meetings.
  - The committee discussed whether or not the review committee’s recommendations would be public. Consensus was that all recommendations would be made public.
  - Hallmark suggested the inclusion in the timeline of a period during which the president would receive appeals from those entities that might be cut.

➢ FUTURE MEETINGS
  - August 23; 1:00 p.m. – 3:00 p.m. The purpose of the final meeting will be to finalize the timeline for the review committee.